



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MN

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| 10/647,217 | 08/26/2003 | Yoshitaka Kayukawa | SON-2810 | 1901 |
| 23353 | 7590 | 05/23/2007 | EXAMINER | |
| RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC LION BUILDING 1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501 WASHINGTON, DC 20036 | | | | GANDHI, DIPAKKUMAR B |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 2117 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 05/23/2007 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/647,217 | Applicant(s) KAYUKAWA ET AL. |
| | Examiner Dipakkumar Gandhi | Art Unit 2117 |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dipakkumar Gandhi. (3) _____

(2) Christopher Tobin. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 May 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: amendment after final filed on 4/30/2007.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative Christopher Tobin mentioned to the examiner that the applicant wants to withdraw the amendment after final filed on 4/30/2007 and file supplemental second amendment after final. The examiner discussed this matter with a primary examiner Guy Lamarre and left a voice message for Christopher Tobin that the applicant needs to file the supplemental second amendment after final by Friday 5/18/07 as the patent office needs to reply to the amendment after final in a certain time frame .